

Supplement to “Dynamic Auction Markets with Fiat Money”

Kazuya Kamiya* and Takashi Shimizu†

August 2009

1 Walrasian Markets with Lotteries

This note is supplemental material to Kamiya and Shimizu [1]. We verify that the value function in Section 8 indeed satisfies the Bellman equation.

Recall that a lottery for buyers is defined by the terms of trade $\ell_b = (p_b, \lambda_b)$ and a lottery for sellers is defined by the terms of trade $\ell_s = (p_s, \lambda_s)$. Each agent solves the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{q_b, q_s} & q_b \lambda_b u - q_s \lambda_s c + \gamma V(\eta') \\ \text{s.t. } & q_b, q_s \in \mathcal{R}_+, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\min\{q_b, q_s\} = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$q_b \lambda_b \leq 1, \tag{3}$$

$$q_s \lambda_s \leq 1, \tag{4}$$

$$\eta' = \eta - q_b p_b + q_s p_s, \tag{5}$$

$$q_b p_b \leq \eta. \tag{6}$$

Solving the above, individual demand and supply functions $q_b(\eta; \ell_b, \ell_s)$, $q_s(\eta; \ell_b, \ell_s)$ are obtained. Let $Q_b(\ell_b, \ell_s)$ and $Q_s(\ell_b, \ell_s)$ be the aggregate demand for buyers' and sellers' lotteries. An equilibrium in spot Walrasian market is defined by (ℓ_b, ℓ_s) satisfying

$$\lambda_b Q_b(\ell_b, \ell_s) = \lambda_s Q_s(\ell_b, \ell_s),$$

$$p_b Q_b(\ell_b, \ell_s) = p_s Q_s(\ell_b, \ell_s),$$

$$\max\{\lambda_b, \lambda_s\} = 1.$$

*Faculty of Economics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033 JAPAN (E-mail: kkamiya@e.u-tokyo.ac.jp)

†Faculty of Economics, Kansai University, 3-3-35 Yamate-cho, Suita, Osaka 564-8680 JAPAN (E-mail: tshimizu@ipcku.kansai-u.ac.jp)

In equilibrium,

$$\frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_s} = \frac{p_b}{p_s} \quad (7)$$

must hold. In what follows, we restrict our attention to (ℓ_b, ℓ_s) satisfying (7).

In case of $1 = \lambda_b \geq \lambda_s$, the candidates for individual demand and supply functions are as follows:

$$q_b^*(\eta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \eta < \bar{\eta}, \\ \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\eta}{p_b} \right\} & \text{if } \eta > \bar{\eta}, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$$q_s^*(\eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_b - \eta}{p_s} & \text{if } \eta < \bar{\eta}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \eta > \bar{\eta}, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $\bar{\eta} \in (0, p_b)$. As for an agent with $\bar{\eta}$, he randomizes between buying $\bar{\eta}/p_b$ and selling $(p_b - \bar{\eta})/p_s$. From these functions, we obtain the following continuous value function

$$V(\eta) = \begin{cases} -\frac{p_b - \eta}{p_s} \lambda_s c + \gamma V(p_b) & \text{if } \eta < \bar{\eta}, \\ \frac{\eta}{p_b} u + \gamma V(0) & \text{if } \bar{\eta} \leq \eta < p_b, \\ u + \gamma V(\eta - p_b) & \text{if } \eta \geq p_b. \end{cases}$$

$\bar{\eta}$ must satisfy

$$-\frac{p_b - \bar{\eta}}{p_s} \lambda_s c + \gamma V(p_b) = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{p_b} u + \gamma V(0).$$

Then,

$$V(np_b + \iota) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\iota}{p_b} c \right] \right\} & \text{if } 0 \leq \iota < \bar{\eta}, \\ \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u+\gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\iota}{p_b} u \right] \right\} & \text{if } \bar{\eta} \leq \iota < p_b, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\bar{\eta} = \frac{\gamma u - c}{(1+\gamma)(u-c)} p_b \quad (11)$$

hold. Clearly, $\bar{\eta} \in (0, p_b)$.

In case of $1 = \lambda_s > \lambda_b$, the candidate for individual demand and supply functions are as follows:

$$q_b^*(\eta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \eta < \bar{\eta}, \\ \min \left\{ \frac{p_s}{p_b}, \frac{\eta}{p_b} \right\} & \text{if } \eta > \bar{\eta}, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

$$q_s^*(\eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_s - \eta}{p_s} & \text{if } \eta < \bar{\eta}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \eta > \bar{\eta}, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $\bar{\eta} \in (0, p_s)$. As for an agent with $\bar{\eta}$, he randomizes between buying $\bar{\eta}/p_b$ and selling $(p_s - \bar{\eta})/p_s$. From these functions, we obtain the following continuous value function:

$$V(\eta) = \begin{cases} -\frac{p_s - \eta}{p_s}c + \gamma V(p_s) & \text{if } \eta < \bar{\eta}, \\ \frac{\eta}{p_b}\lambda_b u + \gamma V(0) & \text{if } \bar{\eta} \leq \eta < p_s, \\ \frac{p_s}{p_b}\lambda_b u + \gamma V(\eta - p_s) & \text{if } \eta \geq p_s. \end{cases}$$

$\bar{\eta}$ must satisfy

$$-\frac{p_s - \bar{\eta}}{p_s}c + \gamma V(p_s) = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{p_b}\lambda_b u + \gamma V(0).$$

Then,

$$V(np_b + \iota) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma)\frac{\iota}{p_s}c \right] \right\} & \text{if } 0 \leq \iota < \bar{\eta}, \\ \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u+\gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma)\frac{\iota}{p_s}u \right] \right\} & \text{if } \bar{\eta} \leq \iota < p_s, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\bar{\eta} = \frac{\gamma u - c}{(1+\gamma)(u-c)}p_s \quad (15)$$

hold. Clearly, $\bar{\eta} \in (0, p_b)$.

2 Optimality

2.1 Case of $1 = \lambda_b \geq \lambda_s$

In this section we show that V defined in (10) and (11), a candidate for the value function, indeed satisfies Bellman equation and (q_b^*, q_s^*) in (8) and (9) is an optimal policy. To be more precise, we define

$$\hat{V}(\mathbf{q}; \eta) = q_b \lambda_b \lambda_b u - q_s \lambda_s c + \gamma V(\eta') \quad (16)$$

where $\mathbf{q} = (q_b, q_s)$, η' is subject to (5), and V is defined in (10) and (11). We also define

$$V^*(\eta) = \max_{\mathbf{q} \text{ s.t. (1)-(6)}} \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}; \eta).$$

Below, we will show that

$$V(\eta) = V^*(\eta) = \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}^*; \eta) \quad (17)$$

holds, where $\mathbf{q}^* = (q_b^*, q_s^*)$ given in (8) and (9).

In this case, the constraints on q_b and q_s are written as

$$q_b \leq \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\eta}{p_b} \right\},$$

$$q_s \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_s}.$$

Moreover, by (7), the latter is clearly equivalent to

$$q_s p_s \leq p_b.$$

2.1.1 $\eta \in [0, \bar{\eta})$

For $q_b \in [0, \eta/p_b]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V} &= q_b u + \gamma V(\eta - q_b p_b) \\ &= q_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= q_b(u - \gamma c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_b} c. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{111} = (\eta/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [0, (\bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \gamma V(\eta + q_s p_s) \\ &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1-\gamma) q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_b} c. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{112} = (0, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $a_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [(\bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, (p_b - \eta)/p_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1-\gamma^2} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s}{p_b} u \right] \right\} \\ &= q_s \lambda_s (\gamma u - c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_b} u. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there is no maximum and the supremum is obtained as $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_{113} = (0, (p_b - \eta)/p_s)$.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [(p_b - \eta)/p_s, p_b/p_s]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma \left[\frac{u + c}{1 + \gamma} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - p_b}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1 - \gamma^2) q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{\gamma^2 (u + c)}{1 - \gamma^2} + \gamma^2 \frac{\eta - p_b}{p_b} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{114} = (0, (p_b - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

By (11), it is verified

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{114}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{111}) > \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{112}), \\ \lim_{\mathbf{q} \uparrow \mathbf{q}_{113}} \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}) &= \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{114}).\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{114} = \mathbf{q}^*$ and (17) hold.

2.1.2 $\eta \in [\bar{\eta}, p_b)$

For $q_b \in ((\eta - \bar{\eta})/p_b, \eta/p_b]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{u + c}{1 + \gamma} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= q_b (u - \gamma c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{\gamma (u + c)}{1 - \gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_b} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{121} = (\eta/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b \in [0, (\eta - \bar{\eta})/p_b]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{(1 + \gamma - \gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1 + \gamma} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b}{p_b} u \right] \right\} \\ &= (1 - \gamma) q_b u + \frac{\gamma u}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{\gamma [(1 + \gamma - \gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1 - \gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_b} u.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{122} = ((\eta - \bar{\eta})/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $p_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [0, (p_b - \eta)/p_s]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{(1 + \gamma - \gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1 + \gamma} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s}{p_b} u \right] \right\} \\ &= q_s \lambda_s (\gamma u - c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{\gamma [(1 + \gamma - \gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1 - \gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_b} u.\end{aligned}$$

Then, there is no maximum and the supremum is obtained as $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_{123} = (0, (p_b - \eta)/p_s)$.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [(p_b - \eta)/p_s, (p_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma \left[\frac{u + c}{1 + \gamma} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - p_b}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1 - \gamma^2) q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{\gamma^2 (u + c)}{1 - \gamma^2} + \gamma^2 \frac{\eta - p_b}{p_b} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{124} = (0, (p_b - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [(p_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, p_b/p_s]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma \left[\frac{(1 + \gamma - \gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1 + \gamma} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - p_b}{p_b} u \right] \right\} \\ &= q_s \lambda_s (\gamma^2 u - c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{\gamma^2 [(1 + \gamma - \gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1 - \gamma^2} + \gamma^2 \frac{\eta - p_b}{p_b} u.\end{aligned}$$

If $\theta > 1/\gamma^2$, then $\mathbf{q}_{125} = (0, p_b/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region. If $\theta = 1/\gamma^2$, $\mathbf{q}_{125} = (0, q_s)$ for any $q_s \in [(p_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, p_b/p_s]$ is a maximizer on the region. If $\theta < 1/\gamma^2$, then $\mathbf{q}_{125} = (0, (p_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

By (11), it is verified

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{121}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{122}), \\ \lim_{\mathbf{q} \uparrow \mathbf{q}_{123}} \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}) &= \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{124}), \\ \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{121}) &\geq \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{124}) \quad \text{"=" holds if and only if } \eta = \bar{\eta}, \\ \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{121}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{125}).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{q}_{121} = \mathbf{q}^*$ and (17) hold. Moreover, any randomization between \mathbf{q}_{121} and \mathbf{q}_{124} is optimal if and only if $\eta = \bar{\eta}$.

2.1.3 $\eta \in [np_b, np_b + \bar{\eta})$ for $n \geq 1$

For $q_b \in ((\eta - (n - 1)p_b - \bar{\eta})/p_b, 1]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n-1} \left[\frac{u + c}{1 + \gamma} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b - (n - 1)p_b}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= q_b (u - \gamma^n c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{\gamma^n (u + c)}{1 - \gamma^2} + \gamma^n \frac{\eta - (n - 1)p_b}{p_b} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{131} = (1, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b \in ((\eta - np_b)/p_b, (\eta - (n-1)p_b - \bar{\eta})/p_b]$ and $p_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n-1} \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b - (n-1)p_b}{p_b} u \right] \right\} \\ &= (1-\gamma^n)q_b u + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^n [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^n \frac{\eta - (n-1)p_b}{p_b} u.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{132} = ((\eta - (n-1)p_b - \bar{\eta})/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b \in [0, (\eta - np_b)/p_b]$ and $p_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b - np_b}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= q_b (u - \gamma^{n+1}) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1}(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_b}{p_b} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{133} = ((\eta - np_b)/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [0, (np_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - np_b}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1-\gamma^{n+1})q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1}(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_b}{p_b} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{134} = (0, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [(np_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, ((n+1)p_b - \eta)/p_s]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2) + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - np_b}{p_b} u \right] \right\} \\ &= q_s \lambda_s (\gamma^{n+1} u - c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1} [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_b}{p_b} u.\end{aligned}$$

If $\theta > 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then there is no maximum and the supremum is obtained as $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_{135} = (0, ((n+1)p_b - \eta)/p_s)$. If $\theta = 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{135} = (0, q_s)$ for any $q_s \in [(np_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, ((n+1)p_b - \eta)/p_s]$ is a maximizer on the region. If $\theta < 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{135} = (0, (np_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [((n+1)p_b - \eta)/p_s, p_b/p_s]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n+1} \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - (n+1)p_b}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1-\gamma^{n+2})q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+2}(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+2} \frac{\eta - (n+1)p_b}{p_b} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{136} = (0, ((n+1)p_b - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

By (11), it is verified

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{131}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{132}) > \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{133}) \geq \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{134}), \\ \theta > \frac{1}{\gamma^{n+1}} &\Rightarrow \lim_{\mathbf{q} \uparrow \mathbf{q}_{135}} \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}) = \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{136}), \\ \theta \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{n+1}} &\Rightarrow \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{131}) > \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{135}), \\ \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{131}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{136}).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{q}_{131} = \mathbf{q}^*$ and (17) hold.

2.1.4 $\eta \in [np_b + \bar{\eta}, (n+1)p_b)$ for $n \geq 1$

For $q_b \in ((\eta - np_b)/p_b, 1]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n-1} \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2) + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b - (n-1)p_b}{p_b} u \right] \right\} \\ &= (1-\gamma^n) q_b u + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^n [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^n \frac{\eta - (n-1)p_b}{p_b} u.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{141} = (1, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b \in ((\eta - np_b - \bar{\eta})/p_b, (\eta - np_b)/p_b]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b - np_b}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= q_b (u - \gamma^{n+1} c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1} (u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_b}{p_b} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{142} = ((\eta - np_b)/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b \in [0, (\eta - np_b - \bar{\eta})/p_b]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b - np_b}{p_b} u \right] \right\} \\ &= (1-\gamma^{n+1}) q_b u + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1} [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_b}{p_b} u.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{143} = ((\eta - np_b - \bar{\eta})/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [0, ((n+1)p_b - \eta)/p_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - np_b}{p_b} u \right] \right\} \\ &= q_s \lambda_s (\gamma^{n+1} u - c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1} [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_b}{p_b} u.\end{aligned}$$

If $\theta > 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then there is no maximum and the supremum is obtained as $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_{144} = (0, ((n+1)p_b - \eta)/p_s)$. If $\theta = 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{144} = (0, q_s)$ for any $q_s \in [0, ((n+1)p_b - \eta)/p_s]$ is a maximizer on the region. If $\theta < 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{144} = (0, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [((n+1)p_b - \eta)/p_s, ((n+1)p_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n+1} \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - (n+1)p_b}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1-\gamma^{n+2})q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+2}(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+2} \frac{\eta - (n+1)p_b}{p_b} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{145} = (0, ((n+1)p_b - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [((n+1)p_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, p_b/p_s]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s \lambda_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n+1} \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - (n+1)p_b}{p_b} u \right] \right\} \\ &= q_s \lambda_s (\gamma^{n+2} u - c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+2} [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+2} \frac{\eta - (n+1)p_b}{p_b} u.\end{aligned}$$

If $\theta > 1/\gamma^{n+2}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{146} = (0, p_b/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region. If $\theta = 1/\gamma^{n+2}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{146} = (0, q_s)$ for any $q_s \in [((n+1)p_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, p_b/p_s]$ is a maximizer on the region. If $\theta < 1/\gamma^{n+2}$, then $\mathbf{q} = (0, ((n+1)p_b + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer.

By (11), it is verified

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{141}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{142}) > \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{143}), \\ \theta > \frac{1}{\gamma^{n+1}} &\Rightarrow \lim_{\mathbf{q} \uparrow \mathbf{q}_{144}} \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}) = \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{145}), \\ \theta \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{n+1}} &\Rightarrow \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{141}) > \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{144}), \\ \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{141}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{145}), \\ \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{141}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{146}).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{q}_{141} = \mathbf{q}^*$ and (17) hold.

2.2 Case of $1 = \lambda_s > \lambda_b$

As in the previous section, we consider (16), where V is defined in (14) and (15), and we show that (17) holds, where $\mathbf{q}^* = (q_b^*, q_s^*)$ given in (12) and (13).

In this case constraints on q_b and q_s are written as

$$q_b \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_b}, \frac{\eta}{p_b} \right\},$$

$$q_s \leq 1.$$

Also, by (7), $q_b \leq 1/\lambda_b$ is equivalent to

$$q_b p_b \leq p_s.$$

2.2.1 $\eta \in [0, \bar{\eta})$

For $q_b \in [0, \eta/p_b]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V} &= q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b}{p_b} c \right] \right\} \\ &= q_b \lambda_b (u - \gamma c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_s} c. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{211} = (\eta/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [0, (\bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s}{p_s} c \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1-\gamma) q_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_s} c. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{212} = (0, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [(\bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, (p_s - \eta)/p_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s}{p_s} u \right] \right\} \\ &= (\gamma u - c) q_s + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_s} u. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there is no maximum and the supremum is obtained as $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_{213} = (0, (p_s - \eta)/p_s)$.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [(p_s - \eta)/p_s, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - p_s}{p_s} c \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1-\gamma^2) q_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^2(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^2 \frac{\eta - p_s}{p_s} c. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{214} = (0, (p_s - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

By (15), it is verified

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{214}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{211}) > \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{212}), \\ \lim_{\mathbf{q} \uparrow \mathbf{q}_{213}} \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}) &= \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{214}).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{q}_{214} = \mathbf{q}^*$ and (17) hold.

2.2.2 $\eta \in [\bar{\eta}, p_s)$

For $q_b \in ((\eta - \bar{\eta})/p_b, \eta/p_b]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{u + c}{1 + \gamma} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b c}{p_s} \right] \right\} \\ &= q_b \lambda_b (u - \gamma c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{\gamma(u + c)}{1 - \gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_s} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{221} = (\eta/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b \in [0, (\eta - \bar{\eta})/p_b]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{(1 + \gamma - \gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1 + \gamma} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b u}{p_s} \right] \right\} \\ &= (1 - \gamma) q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma u}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{\gamma [(1 + \gamma - \gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1 - \gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_s} u.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{222} = ((\eta - \bar{\eta})/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [0, (p_s - \eta)/p_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left\{ u - \left[\frac{(1 + \gamma - \gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1 + \gamma} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s u}{p_s} \right] \right\} \\ &= q_s (\gamma u - c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{\gamma [(1 + \gamma - \gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1 - \gamma^2} + \gamma \frac{\eta}{p_s} u.\end{aligned}$$

Then, there is no maximum and the supremum is obtained as $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_{223} = (0, (p_s - \eta)/p_s)$.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [(p_s - \eta)/p_s, (p_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma \left[\frac{u + c}{1 + \gamma} - (1 - \gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - p_s c}{p_s} \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1 - \gamma^2) q_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{\gamma^2 (u + c)}{1 - \gamma^2} + \gamma^2 \frac{\eta - p_s c}{p_s}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{224} = (0, (p_s - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [(p_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - p_s}{p_s} u \right] \right\} \\ &= q_s (\gamma^2 u - c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^2 [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^2 \frac{\eta - p_s}{p_s} u.\end{aligned}$$

If $\theta > 1/\gamma^2$, then $\mathbf{q}_{225} = (0, 1)$ is the unique maximizer on the region. If $\theta = 1/\gamma^2$, then $\mathbf{q}_{225} = (0, q_s)$ for any $q_s \in [(p_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, 1]$ is a maximizer on the region. If $\theta < 1/\gamma^2$, then $\mathbf{q}_{225} = (0, (p_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

By (15), it is verified

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{221}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{222}) \\ \lim_{\mathbf{q} \uparrow \mathbf{q}_{223}} \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}) &= \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{224}), \\ \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{221}) &\geq \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{224}) \quad \text{"=" holds if and only if } \eta = \bar{\eta}, \\ \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{221}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{225})\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{q}_{221} = \mathbf{q}^*$ and (17) hold. Moreover, any randomization between \mathbf{q}_{221} and \mathbf{q}_{224} is optimal if and only if $\eta = \bar{\eta}$.

2.2.3 $\eta \in [np_s, np_s + \bar{\eta})$ for $n \geq 1$

For $q_b \in ((\eta - (n-1)p_s - \bar{\eta})/p_b, p_s/p_b]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n-1} \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b - (n-1)p_s}{p_s} c \right] \right\} \\ &= q_b \lambda_b (u - \gamma^n c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^n (u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^n \frac{\eta - (n-1)p_s}{p_s} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{231} = (p_s/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b \in ((\eta - np_s)/p_b, (\eta - (n-1)p_s - \bar{\eta})/p_s]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n-1} \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b - (n-1)p_s}{p_s} u \right] \right\} \\ &= (1-\gamma^n) q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^n [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^n \frac{\eta - (n-1)p_s}{p_s} u.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{232} = ((\eta - (n-1)p_s - \bar{\eta})/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b \in [0, (\eta - np_s)/p_b]$ and $p_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b - np_s}{p_s} c \right] \right\} \\ &= q_b \lambda_b (u - \gamma^{n+1} c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1}(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_s}{p_s} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{233} = ((\eta - np_s)/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [0, (np_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - np_s}{p_s} c \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1 - \gamma^{n+1}) q_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1}(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_s}{p_s} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{234} = (0, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [(np_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, ((n+1)p_s - \eta)/p_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - np_s}{p_s} u \right] \right\} \\ &= q_s (\gamma^{n+1} u - c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1} [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_s}{p_s} u.\end{aligned}$$

If $\theta > 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then there is no maximum and the supremum is obtained as $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_{235} = (0, ((n+1)p_s - \eta)/p_s)$. If $\theta = 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{235} = (0, q_s)$ for any $q_s \in [(np_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, ((n+1)p_s - \eta)/p_s)$ is a maximizer on the region. If $\theta < 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{235} = (0, (np_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [((n+1)p_s - \eta)/p_s, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n+1} \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - (n+1)p_s}{p_s c} \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1 - \gamma^{n+2}) q_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+2}(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+2} \frac{\eta - (n+1)p_s}{p_s} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{236} = (0, ((n+1)p_s - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

By (15), it is verified

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{231}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{232}) > \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{233}) > \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{234}), \\ \theta > \frac{1}{\gamma^{n+1}} &\Rightarrow \lim_{\mathbf{q} \uparrow \mathbf{q}_{235}} \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}) = \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{236}), \\ \theta \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{n+1}} &\Rightarrow \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{234}) > \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{235}), \\ \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{231}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{236}).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{q}_{231} = \mathbf{q}^*$ and (17) hold.

2.2.4 $\eta \in [np_s + \bar{\eta}, (n+1)p_s]$ for $n \geq 1$

For $q_b \in ((\eta - np_s)/p_b, p_s/p_b]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n-1} \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_s p_s - (n-1)p_s}{p_s} u \right] \right\} \\ &= (1-\gamma^n) q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^n [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^n \frac{\eta - (n-1)p_s}{p_s} u.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{241} = (p_s/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b \in ((\eta - np_s - \bar{\eta})/p_b, (\eta - np_s)/p_b]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b - np_s}{p_s} c \right] \right\} \\ &= q_b \lambda_b (u - \gamma^{n+1} c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1} (u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_s}{p_s} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{242} = ((\eta - np_s)/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b \in [0, (\eta - np_s - \bar{\eta})/p_b]$ and $q_s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta - q_b p_b - np_s}{p_s} u \right] \right\} \\ &= (1-\gamma^{n+1}) q_b \lambda_b u + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1} [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_s}{p_s} u.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{243} = ((\eta - np_s - \bar{\eta})/p_b, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [0, ((n+1)p_s - \eta)/p_s]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^n \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - np_s}{p_s} u \right] \right\} \\ &= q_s (\gamma^{n+1} u - c) + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+1} [(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+1} \frac{\eta - np_s}{p_s} u.\end{aligned}$$

If $\theta > 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then there is no maximum and the supremum is obtained as $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_{244} = (0, ((n+1)p_s - \eta)/p_s)$. If $\theta = 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{244} = (0, q_s)$ for any $q_s \in [0, ((n+1)p_s - \eta)/p_s]$ is a maximizer on the region. If $\theta < 1/\gamma^{n+1}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{244} = (0, 0)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [((n+1)p_s - \eta)/p_s, ((n+1)p_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n+1} \left[\frac{u+c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - (n+1)p_s}{p_s} c \right] \right\} \\ &= -(1-\gamma^{n+1})q_s c + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+2}(u+c)}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+2} \frac{\eta - (n+1)p_s}{p_s} c.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathbf{q}_{245} = (0, ((n+1)p_s - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

For $q_b = 0$ and $q_s \in [((n+1)p_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -q_s c + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left\{ u - \gamma^{n+1} \left[\frac{(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c}{1+\gamma} - (1-\gamma) \frac{\eta + q_s p_s - (n+1)p_s}{p_s} u \right] \right\} \\ &= (\gamma^{n+2}u - c)q_s + \frac{\gamma u}{1-\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{n+2}[(1+\gamma-\gamma^2)u + \gamma c]}{1-\gamma^2} + \gamma^{n+2} \frac{\eta - (n+1)p_s}{p_s} u.\end{aligned}$$

If $\theta > 1/\gamma^{n+2}$, then $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}_{246} = (0, 1)$ is the unique maximizer on the region. If $\theta = q/\gamma^{n+2}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{246} = (0, q_s)$ for any $q_s \in [((n+1)p_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s, 1]$ is a maximizer on the region. If $\theta < 1/\gamma^{n+2}$, then $\mathbf{q}_{246} = (0, ((n+1)p_s + \bar{\eta} - \eta)/p_s)$ is the unique maximizer on the region.

By (15), it is verified

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{241}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{242}) > \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{243}), \\ \theta > \frac{1}{\gamma^{n+1}} &\Rightarrow \lim_{\mathbf{q} \uparrow \mathbf{q}_{244}} \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}) = \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{245}), \\ \theta \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{n+1}} &\Rightarrow \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{243}) > \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{244}), \\ \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{241}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{245}), \\ \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{241}) &> \hat{V}(\mathbf{q}_{246}).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{q}_{241} = \mathbf{q}^*$ and (17) hold.

References

- [1] Kazuya Kamiya and Takashi Shimizu. Dynamic auction markets with fiat money. mimeo., 2009.